arXiv:1610.00551v1 [math.RA] 3 Oct 2016

Pivotal and Ribbon Entwining Datums

Xiaohui ZHANG[1], **Wei WANG**[2], **Xiaofan ZHAO**[3]

[1] zxhhhhh@hotmail.com, School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Qufu Shandong 273165, P. R. China.
[2] weiwang2012spring@yahoo.com, Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing Jiangsu 210096, P. R. China.
[3] zhaoxiaofan8605@126.com, College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang Henan 453007, P. R. China.

Abstract. Let (C, A, φ) be an entwining structure over k. In this paper, we introduce the notions of the pivotal entwined datums and ribbon entwined datums to generalize (co)pivotal Hopf algebras and (co)ribbon Hopf algebras. These notions give necessary and sufficient conditions for the category of entwined modules to be a pivotal category and ribbon category.

Keywords. entwining structure; rigid category; pivotal category; ribbon category

1. Introduction

Monoidal category theory played an important role in the theory of knots and links and the theory of quantum groups. Through the reconstruction theory and Tannakian duality ([16], [32]), quantum groups and monoidal categories are correspondence with each other. There are many kinds of monoidal categories with additional structure - braided, rigid, pivotal, balanced, ribbon, etc., and many of them have an associated form in low dimensional topology theory and knot theory. For example, ribbon category (see [25]) is based on the isotopy invariants of framed tangles; spherical category (see [2] and [14]) is based on the Turaev-Virostate sum model invariant of a closed piecewise-linear 3-manifold. From the reconstruction theoretical point of view, a ribbon (resp. pivotal) category is equivalent to the category of (co)modules over a (co)ribbon (resp. pivotal) Hopf algebras (or its generalizations)(see [4], [5] and [34]).

Pivotal category (or equivalently, a sovereign category) is introduced by Freyd and Yetter (see [14], [33]) in 1992. A pivotal structure in a rigid category is a monoidal natural transformation between the identity functor and the double (right) dual functor. A pivotal Hopf algebra has by definition a group-like element that implements the square of the antipode (called a pivot) (see [1]). Note that pivot could induce a pivotal structure in the representation category. In 1995, Maltsiniotis ([21]) studied pivotal monoidal categories and proposed a new equivalent definition (which avoids the choice of an autonomous structure), and showed that an axiom was redundant (see [4]). Note that a theorem of Deligne (Proposition 2.11 in [33]) showed that there is a sovereign structure on an autonomous braided category if and only if there is a twist (or a balanced structure) on it. Thus a braided pivotal category is the same thing as a balanced autonomous category (see Corollary 4.21, [27]).

Recently, pivotal structures have been studied by many scholars. In 2001, Bichon ([4]) introduced the (co)sovereign Hopf algebras and described the relation between the cosovereign Hopf algebras and sovereign categories. In 2004, Schauenburg (see [26]) introduced a categorical definition of degree 2 Frobenius-Schur indicators and gave a different proof of the Frobenius-Schur Theorem for quasi-Hopf algebras based on the pivotal structures. In 2012, Ng (see [24]) researched a family of pivotal finite-dimensional Hopf algebras with unique pivotal element. In 2015, Kenichi Shimizu (see [28, 29]) use the theory of the pivotal cover to lay out a categorial approach to generalized indicators for a non-semisimple Hopf algebra. In 2016, he also classified the pivotal structures of the Drinfeld center of a finite tensor category (see [30]).

It is interesting and important to find more new pivotal structures. How to get more new examples of pivotal category? This is the first motivations of the present article. In order to investigate this question,

we consider the necessary and sufficient condition for the category of entwined modules to be a pivotal category.

Entwining structure is proposed by Brzezinski and Majid in [8] to define coalgebra principal bundles. An entwining structure over a monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ consists of an algebra A, a coalgebra C and a morphism $\varphi : C\otimes A \to A\otimes C$ satisfying some axioms. The entwining modules are both A-modules and C-comodules, with compatibility relation given by φ. Note that the definition of entwined modules generalizes lots of important modules such as Hopf modules, Doi-Hopf modules, and Yetter-Drinfeld modules.

The second motivations of our paper raised from the study of how to get the ribbon structure in the center of the module category of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra.

A ribbon structure (see [25], and also see [17]) in a rigid braided category is a self-dual twist (or a self-dual balanced structure), which is a natural isomorphism from the identity functor to itself and compatible with the duality and the braiding. In 1993, Kauffman and Radford got a necessary and sufficient condition for a finite-dimensional Drinfel'd double to be a ribbon Hopf algebra (see [18]). As well-known, when a Hopf algebra H is finite-dimensional we have that the category of modules of Drinfel'd double $D(H)$ is actually the Yetter-Drinfeld category $\mathbf{YD}_H^H$. Therefore one is prompted to ask the following question: when $\mathbf{YD}_H^H$ becomes a ribbon category from the point of view of the category theory? In order to study the question, we discuss that how the ribbon structures appear in the category of entwined modules.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some notions of entwining structures, pivotal categories and ribbon categories. In section 3, we describe the duality in the category of entwined modules $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ and show $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is a rigid category. In section 4, we mainly give a necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ to be a pivotal category. In section 5, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ to admit a ribbon structure. In Section 6, we mainly discuss the Hopf algebras which are induced by $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$, and show its (co)representation category is monoidal identified to $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$. Finally, we consider the Yetter-Drinfeld category and the category of generalized Long dimodules for application.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we let k be a fixed field and $char(k) = 0$ and Vec_k be the category of finite dimensional k-spaces. All the algebras and coalgebras, modules and comodules are supposed to be in Vec_k. For the comultiplication Δ of a k-module C, we use the Sweedler-Heyneman's notation: $\Delta(c) = c_1 \otimes c_2$, for any $c \in C$. τ means the flip map $\tau(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$.

2.1. Entwining structure and entwined modules.

In this section, we will review several definitions related to entwined modules (see [8] or [15]).

Let $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ be a coalgebra and (A, m_A, η_A) an algebra over k. A map $\varphi : C \otimes A \to A \otimes C$, $\varphi(c \otimes a) = \sum a_\varphi \otimes c^\varphi$, is called an *entwining map* if the following identities hold

$$\begin{cases} (E1)\ \sum (ab)_\varphi \otimes c^\varphi = \sum a_\varphi b_\psi \otimes c^{\varphi\psi}; \\ (E2)\ \sum a_\varphi \otimes (c^\varphi)_1 \otimes (c^\varphi)_2 = \sum a_{\varphi\psi} \otimes (c_1)^\psi \otimes (c_2)^\varphi; \\ (E3)\ \sum (1_A)_\varphi \otimes c^\varphi = 1_A \otimes c; \\ (E4)\ \sum a_\varphi \varepsilon_C(c^\varphi) = a\varepsilon_C(c), \end{cases}$$

where $a, b \in A$, $c \in C$, $\psi = \varphi$. Furthermore, (C, A, φ) is called *a right-right entwining structure*.

Let $\varphi : C \otimes A \to A \otimes C$ be an entwining map, $M \in \mathcal{C}$, (M, ϱ_M) be a right A-module, (M, ρ^M) be a right C-comodule. If the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M\otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varrho_M} & M & \xrightarrow{\rho^M} & M\otimes C \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho^M\otimes id_A} & & & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \varrho_M\otimes id_C} \\ M\otimes C\otimes A & & \xrightarrow{id_M\otimes\varphi} & & M\otimes A\otimes C \end{array} \qquad (E0)$$

is commutative, then we call the triple (M, ϱ_M, ρ^M) an *entwined module*.

The morphism between entwined modules is called *entwined module morphism* if it is both A-linear and C-colinear. The category of entwined modules is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$.

Recall from [7] and [15], for any $g, f \in hom_k(C, A)$, one can define their *entwined convolution product* $g \star f \in hom_k(C, A)$ via

$$(g \star f)(c) := \sum f(c_2)_\varphi g({c_1}^\varphi), \qquad c \in C.$$

Note that the unit is $\eta_A \circ \varepsilon_C$.

Similarly, $hom_k(C \otimes C, A \otimes A)$ is also an algebra with the following *entwined convolution product*:

$$(g' \star f') := m_{A\otimes A}(A \otimes A \otimes g')(A \otimes \tau_{C,A} \otimes C)(\varphi \otimes \varphi)(C \otimes \tau_{C,A} \otimes A)(C \otimes C \otimes f')\Delta_{C\otimes C},$$

where $g', f' \in hom_k(C \otimes C, A \otimes A)$. Note that the unit is $(\eta_A \otimes \eta_A) \circ (\varepsilon_C \otimes \varepsilon_C)$.

Recall from [[6], Corollary 3.4,] (or see [15]) that $C \otimes A$ is an object in $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ via

$$(c \otimes a) \cdot x = c \otimes ax;$$
$$(c \otimes a)_0 \otimes (c \otimes a)_1 = \sum c_1 \otimes a_\varphi \otimes {c_2}^\varphi,$$

where $a, x \in A$ and $c \in C$.

$A \otimes C$ is also an object in $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ via

$$(a \otimes c) \cdot x = \sum a x_\varphi \otimes c^\varphi;$$
$$(a \otimes c)_0 \otimes (a \otimes c)_1 = a \otimes c_1 \otimes c_2.$$

Further, for any right A-module M, $M \otimes C$ is also an entwined module by

$$(m \otimes c) \cdot a = \sum m \cdot a_\varphi \otimes c^\varphi;$$
$$(m \otimes c)_0 \otimes (m \otimes c)_1 = m \otimes c_1 \otimes c_2.$$

This defines a right adjoint functor for the underlying functor $U : \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi) \to \mathcal{M}_A$.

2.2. Monoidal entwining datum and double quantum group.

Suppose that C and A are two bialgebras over k such that (C, A, φ) is an entwining structure. Recall that (C, A, φ) is called a *monoidal entwining datum* if the following equations hold

$$\begin{cases} (E5)\ \sum (a_\varphi)_1 \otimes (a_\varphi)_2 \otimes (cd)^\varphi = \sum (a_1)_\varphi \otimes (a_2)_\psi \otimes c^\varphi d^\psi; \\ (E6)\ \sum \varepsilon_A(a_\varphi)(1_C)^\varphi = \varepsilon_A(a)1_C, \end{cases}$$

where $a \in A$, $c \in C$.

Recall from [[15], Theorem 4.1] that $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is a monoidal category such that the forgetful functors are strict monoidal if and only if (C, A, φ) is a monoidal entwining datum. Further, for any $M, N \in \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$, the A-action and the C-coaction on $M \otimes N$ are given by

$$(m \otimes n) \cdot a = m \cdot a_1 \otimes n \cdot a_2;$$
$$(m \otimes n)_0 \otimes (m \otimes n)_1 = m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes m_1 n_1,$$

where $m \in M$, $n \in N$, $a \in A$. Moreover, the tensor unit in $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is $(k, id_k \otimes \varepsilon_A, id_k \otimes \eta_C)$.

Recall that a pair of bialgebras C and A together with a monoidal entwining map φ (such that $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is a monoidal category) and together with a k-linear morphism $R : C \otimes C \to A \otimes A$ is called a *double quantum group* if the following identities hold for any $a \in A$, $c, d, x, y, z \in C$:

$$\begin{cases} (E7)\ \sum R(c_1 \otimes d_1) \otimes c_2 d_2 = R^{(1)}(c_2 \otimes d_2)_\varphi \otimes R^{(2)}(c_2 \otimes d_2)_\psi \otimes {d_1}^\varphi {c_1}^\psi; \\ (E8)\ \sum a_{2\psi} R^{(1)}(c^\varphi \otimes d^\psi) \otimes a_{1\varphi} R^{(2)}(c^\varphi \otimes d^\psi) = R^{(1)}(c \otimes d)a_1 \otimes R^{(2)}(c \otimes d)a_2; \\ (E9)\ \sum R^{(1)}(x \otimes yz)_1 \otimes R^{(1)}(x \otimes yz)_2 \otimes R^{(2)}(x \otimes yz) \\ \qquad = r^{(1)}(x_2 \otimes y) \otimes R^{(1)}({x_1}^\varphi \otimes z) \otimes r^{(2)}(x_2 \otimes y)_\varphi R^{(2)}({x_1}^\varphi \otimes z); \\ (E10)\ \sum R^{(1)}(xy \otimes z) \otimes R^{(2)}(xy \otimes z)_1 \otimes R^{(2)}(xy \otimes z)_2 \\ \qquad = r^{(1)}(y \otimes z_2)_\varphi R^{(1)}(x \otimes {z_1}^\varphi) \otimes R^{(2)}(x \otimes {z_1}^\varphi) \otimes r^{(2)}(y \otimes z_2); \\ (E10)\ R \in hom_k(C \otimes C, A \otimes A) \text{ is invertible under the entwined convolution.} \end{cases}$$

Recall from [[15], Theorem 5.5] that $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is a braided monoidal category if and only if (C, A, φ, R) is a double quantum group. Further, the braiding $\mathbf{C}$ in $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{C}_{M,N} : M \otimes N \to N \otimes M, \quad m \otimes n \mapsto (n_0 \otimes m_0) \cdot R(m_1 \otimes n_1), \tag{2.1}$$

where $M, N \in \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$.

2.3. Pivotal category and ribbon category.

In this section, we will review several definitions and notations related to ribbon structures.

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$ and $(\mathcal{C}', \otimes', I')$ be strict monoidal categories. A functor $G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$ is called a *monoidal functor*, if there exists a natural transformation $G_2 : G \otimes' G \to G\otimes$ and a morphism $G_0 : I' \to G(I)$ in $\mathcal{C}'$, such that for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$, the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(X) \otimes' G(Y) \otimes' G(Z) & \xrightarrow{id_{G(X)} \otimes' G_2(Y,Z)} & G(X) \otimes' G(Y \otimes Z) \\ {\scriptstyle G_2(X,Y) \otimes' id_{G(Z)}} \downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle G_2(X, Y\otimes Z)} \\ G(X \otimes Y) \otimes' G(Z) & \xrightarrow[G_2(X\otimes Y, Z)]{} & G(X \otimes Y \otimes Z), \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} G(X) & \xrightarrow{id_{G(X)} \otimes' G_0} & G(X) \otimes' I' \\ {\scriptstyle G_0 \otimes' id_{G(X)}} \downarrow & \searrow^{id_{G(X)}} & \downarrow {\scriptstyle G_2(X,I)} \\ I' \otimes' G(X) & \xrightarrow[G_2(I,X)]{} & G(X). \end{array}$$

A monoidal functor (G, G_2, G_0) is said to be *strong* (respectively *strict*) if G_2 and G_0 are isomorphisms (respectively identities).

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$ and $(\mathcal{C}', \otimes', I')$ be monoidal categories, F, G be monoidal functors from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}'$. A natural transformation $\alpha : F \Rightarrow G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$ is called *monoidal* if α satisfies

$$\alpha_{X\otimes Y} \circ F_2(X, Y) = G_2(X, Y) \circ (\alpha_X \otimes' \alpha_Y), \quad \text{and} \quad G_0 = \alpha_I F_0.$$

Or equivalently ([12], Definition 1.5.1), if α satisfies

$$\alpha_{X\otimes Y} \circ F_2(X, Y) = G_2(X, Y) \circ (\alpha_X \otimes' \alpha_Y), \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_I \text{ is an isomorphism.}$$

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$ be a strict monoidal category. Recall from [17] or [4] that for an object $V \in \mathcal{C}$, a *left dual* of V is a triple $(V^*, ev_V, coev_V)$, where V^* is an object, $ev_V : V^* \otimes V \to I$ and $coev_V : I \to V \otimes V^*$ are morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$, satisfying

$$(V \otimes ev_V)(coev_V \otimes V) = id_V, \quad \text{and} \quad (ev_V \otimes V^*)(V^* \otimes coev_V) = id_{V^*}.$$

Similarly, a *right dual* of V is a triple $({}^*V, \widetilde{ev}_V, \widetilde{coev}_V)$, where ${}^*V$ is an object, $\widetilde{ev}_V : V \otimes {}^*V \to I$ and $\widetilde{coev}_V : I \to {}^*V \otimes V$ are morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$, satisfying

$$(\widetilde{ev}_V \otimes V)(V \otimes \widetilde{coev}_V) = id_V, \quad \text{and} \quad ({}^*V \otimes \widetilde{ev}_V)(\widetilde{coev}_V \otimes {}^*V) = id_{{}^*V}.$$

If each object in $\mathcal{C}$ admits a left dual (respectively a right dual, respectively both a left dual and a right dual), then $\mathcal{C}$ is called a *left rigid category* (respectively a *right rigid category*, respectively a *rigid category*).

Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is a left rigid category. $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, for a morphism $g : Y \to X$ define its *transpose* as follows:

$$g^* := \; X^* \xrightarrow{id_{X^*} \otimes coev_Y} X^* \otimes Y \otimes Y^* \xrightarrow{id_{X^*} \otimes g \otimes id_{Y^*}} X^* \otimes X \otimes Y^* \xrightarrow{ev_X \otimes id_{Y^*}} Y^* \; . \tag{TR1}$$

Then it is easy to get the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^* \otimes Y & \xrightarrow{g^* \otimes id_Y} & Y^* \otimes Y \\ {\scriptstyle id_{X^*} \otimes g} \downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle ev_Y} \\ X^* \otimes X & \xrightarrow[ev_X]{} & I, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} I & \xrightarrow{coev_Y} & Y \otimes Y^* \\ {\scriptstyle coev_X} \downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle g \otimes id_Y^*} \\ X \otimes X^* & \xrightarrow[id_X \otimes g^*]{} & X \otimes Y^*. \end{array} \tag{TR2}$$

Further, this defines a bijection between $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X^*, Y^*)$ and $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a left rigid category, U, V, W be objects in $\mathcal{C}$. Then*
(1). $V^* \otimes U^* \cong (U \otimes V)^*$;
(2). if $f : V \to W$ and $g : U \to V$ are morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$, then we have $(f \circ g)^* = g^* \circ f^*$, and $(1_V)^* = 1_{V^*}$;
(3). $I^* = I$.

Thus we have a strong monoidal functor $()^* : \mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{C}^{op}$ is the opposite category to $\mathcal{C}$ with opposite monoidal structure. $()^*$ is called the *left dual functor*.

Similarly, for a morphism $f : X \to Y$ in a right rigid category $\mathcal{C}$, define ${}^*f : {}^*Y \to {}^*X$ by

$$ {}^*f = ({}^*X \otimes \widetilde{ev}_Y)({}^*X \otimes f \otimes {}^*Y)(\widetilde{coev}_X \otimes {}^*Y), $$

then we get the *right dual functor* ${}^*() : \mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathcal{C}$. It is also a strong monoidal functor. Further, if $\mathcal{C} = Vec_k$, then $()^*$ and ${}^*()$ are all strict monoidal functors.

Recall from [12] and [27] that a *pivotal structure* in a right rigid category $\mathcal{C}$ is an isomorphism $\beta : id \to {}^{**}()$ of monoidal functors, where id means the identity functor and ${}^{**}() = {}^*() \circ {}^*()$. A *pivotal category* is a right rigid category endowed with a pivotal structure.

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, a, l, r, \mathbf{C})$ be a braided monoidal category with the braiding $\mathbf{C}$. Recall from [17] (or [27]) that a *twist* (or a *balanced structure*) on $\mathcal{C}$ is a family $\theta_V : V \to V$ of natural isomorphisms indexed by the objects V of $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying

$$ \theta_{V \otimes W} = \mathbf{C}_{W,V}\mathbf{C}_{V,W}(\theta_V \otimes \theta_W). $$

A twist θ on an autonomous category $\mathcal{C}$ is *self-dual* if $\theta_{V^*} = (\theta_V)^*$ (or equivalently, $\theta_{{}^*V} = {}^*(\theta_V)$).

A *ribbon category* is a braided autonomous category endowed with a self-dual twist.

3. The duality in the category of entwined modules

From now on we assume that C and A are two Hopf algebras with bijective antipodes over k, and $\varphi : C \otimes A \to A \otimes C$ is a k-linear map such that (C, A, φ) is a monoidal entwining datum.

For any $(M, \varrho_M, \rho^M) \in \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$, set $M^* = {}^*M = hom_k(M, k)$ as spaces, and define the A-action and C-coaction on M^* and ${}^*M$ by

$$ \begin{aligned} &\varrho_{M^*} : M^* \otimes A \longrightarrow M^*, \quad (f \cdot a)(m) := f(m \cdot S_A^{-1}(a)), \\ &\rho^{M^*} : M^* \longrightarrow M^* \otimes C, \quad f_0(m) \otimes f_1 := f(m_0) \otimes S_C(m_1), \\ &\varrho_{{}^*M} : {}^*M \otimes A \longrightarrow {}^*M, \quad (f \cdot a)(m) := f(m \cdot S_A(h)), \\ &\rho^{{}^*M} : {}^*M \longrightarrow {}^*M \otimes C, \quad f_0(m) \otimes f_1 := f(m_0) \otimes S_C^{-1}(m_1), \end{aligned} $$

where $f \in hom_k(M, k)$, $a \in A$, $m \in M$, and define the evaluation map and coevaluation map by

$$ ev_M : f \otimes m \longmapsto f(m); \qquad coev_M : 1_k \longmapsto \sum_i e_i \otimes e^i; $$

$$ \widetilde{ev}_M : m \otimes f \longmapsto f(m); \qquad \widetilde{coev}_M : 1_k \longmapsto \sum_i e^i \otimes e_i, $$

where e_i and e^i are dual bases in M and M^*. It is easy to check that M^* and ${}^*M$ are all both A-modules and C-comodules. Further, $ev, coev, \widetilde{ev}, \widetilde{coev}$ are all both A-linear and C-colinear maps.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $a \in A$, $c \in C$, the following identities hold*

$$ S_A^{-1}(a) \otimes S_C(c) = \sum (S_A^{-1}(a_\varphi))_\psi \otimes (S_C(c^\psi))^\varphi; \tag{3.1} $$

$$ S_A(a) \otimes S_C^{-1}(c) = \sum (S_A(a_\varphi))_\psi \otimes (S_C^{-1}(c^\psi))^\varphi. \tag{3.2} $$

Proof. See Section 6. □

Theorem 3.2. *$\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is a rigid category.*

Proof. We only show that $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ admit left duality, i.e., M^* is an object in $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ for any entwined module M. Actually, for any $\mu \in M^*$, $m \in M$, $a \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu \cdot a)_0(m) \otimes (\mu \cdot a)_1 &= \mu(m_0 \cdot S_A^{-1}(a)) \otimes S_C(m_1) \\ &\overset{(3.1)}{=} \sum \mu(m_0 \cdot (S_A^{-1}(a_\varphi))_\psi) \otimes (S_C({m_1}^\psi))^\varphi \\ &= \sum \mu_0(m \cdot S_A^{-1}(a_\varphi)) \otimes {\mu_1}^\varphi = \sum (\mu_0 \cdot a_\varphi)(m) \otimes (\mu_1)^\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is a left rigid category.

Similarly, one can check that $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is a right rigid category by using Eq.(3.2). □

4. The pivotal structure in the category of entwined modules

Suppose that F is the underlying functor from $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ to Vec_k, $Nat(F \circ id, F \circ {}^{**}())$ means the collection of natural transformations from the functor $F \circ id : \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi) \to Vec_k$ to the functor $F \circ {}^{**}()$. Then we have the following property.

Proposition 4.1. *There is a bijective map between $Nat(F \circ id, F \circ {}^{**}())$ and $hom_k(C, A)$.*

Proof. Define a map $P : Nat(F \circ id, F \circ {}^{**}()) \to hom_k(C, A)$ by

$$P(\beta) : C \to A, \quad c \mapsto \sum \beta_{A \otimes C}(1_A \otimes c)(\varepsilon_C \otimes e^i)e_i,$$

where $\beta \in Nat(F \circ id, F \circ {}^{**}())$, $c \in C$, e_i and e^i are bases of A and A^* respectively, dual to each other. Define $Q : hom_k(C, A) \to Nat(F \circ id, F \circ {}^{**}())$ by

$$Q(\mathfrak{g})_M : M \to {}^{**}M, \quad m \mapsto Q(\mathfrak{g})_M(m), \quad \text{such that} \quad Q(\mathfrak{g})_M(m)(\mu) = \mu(m_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}(m_1)),$$

where $\mathfrak{g} \in hom_k(C, A)$, $M \in \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$, $\mu \in {}^*M$, $m \in M$.

Firstly, for any morphism $f : N \to M$ in $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$, $\nu \in {}^*N$, $n \in N$, since

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{**}f(Q(\mathfrak{g})_M(m))(\nu) &= Q(\mathfrak{g})_M(m)({}^*f(\nu)) \\ &= {}^*f(\nu)(m_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}(m_1)) = \nu(f(m)_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}(f(m)_1)),\end{aligned}$$

the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{Q(\mathfrak{g})_M} & {}^{**}M \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle {}^{**}f} \\ N & \xrightarrow{Q(\mathfrak{g})_N} & {}^{**}N \end{array}$$

is commute. Thus Q is well-defined.

Secondly, for $g \in hom_k(C, A)$, $c \in C$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}P(Q(\mathfrak{g}))(c) &= \sum Q(\mathfrak{g})_{A \otimes C}(1_A \otimes c)(\varepsilon_C \otimes e^i)e_i \\ &= \sum (\varepsilon_C \otimes e^i)((1_A \otimes c)_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}((1_A \otimes c)_1))e_i \\ &= \sum \varepsilon_C(c_1^\varphi)e^i(\mathfrak{g}(c_2)_\varphi)e_i = \mathfrak{g}(c).\end{aligned}$$

Thirdly, for $\sigma \in Nat(F \circ id, F \circ {}^{**}())$, $\mu \in {}^*M$, $m \in M$, notice that

$$\begin{aligned}Q(P(\beta))_M(m)(\mu) &= \mu(m_0 \cdot P(\beta)(m_1)) \\ &= \sum \mu(m_0 \cdot e_i)\beta_{A \otimes C}(1_A \otimes m_1)(\varepsilon_C \otimes e^i).\end{aligned}$$

For any right A-module U, $u \in U$, one can define the following A-linear map

$$\widetilde{u} : A \to U, \quad a \mapsto u \cdot a,$$

it is easy to check that $\widetilde{u} \otimes id_C : A \otimes C \to U \otimes C$ is both A-linear and C-colinear. Actually, for any $a, x \in A$, $c \in C$, we compute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a \otimes c \otimes x & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{u} \otimes id_C \otimes id_A} & u \cdot a \otimes c \otimes x \\ {\scriptstyle \theta_{A\otimes C}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_{U\otimes C}} \\ ax_{\varphi} \otimes c^{\varphi} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{u} \otimes id_A} & u \cdot ax_{\varphi} \otimes c^{\varphi}, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} a \otimes c & \xrightarrow{\rho^{A\otimes C}} & a \otimes c_1 \otimes c_2 \\ {\scriptstyle \widetilde{u}\otimes id_C}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{u}\otimes id_C \otimes id_C} \\ u \cdot a \otimes c & \xrightarrow{\rho^{U\otimes C}} & u \cdot a \otimes c_1 \otimes c_2. \end{array}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum \mu(m_0 \cdot e_i)\beta_{A\otimes C}(1_A \otimes m_1)(\varepsilon_C \otimes e^i) \\ &= \sum \beta_{A\otimes C}(1_A \otimes m_1)(\varepsilon_C \otimes (\mu \circ \widetilde{m_0})(e_i)e^i) \\ &= ((^{**}\widetilde{m_0} \otimes id_{^{**}C}) \circ \beta_{A\otimes C})(1_A \otimes m_1)(\varepsilon_C \otimes \mu) \\ &= \beta_{M\otimes C}(m_0 \otimes m_1)(\varepsilon_C \otimes \mu) \\ &= (\beta_{M\otimes C} \circ \rho^M)(m)(\varepsilon_C \otimes \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Then from the following commute diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} {}^*C \otimes {}^*M \otimes M & \xrightarrow{id_{^*C}\otimes id_{^*M}\otimes \beta_M} & {}^*C \otimes {}^*M \otimes {}^{**}M & \xrightarrow{{}^*(\rho^M)\otimes id_{^{**}M}} & {}^*M \otimes {}^{**}M \\ {\scriptstyle id_{^*C}\otimes id_{^*M}\otimes \rho^M}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id_{^*C}\otimes id_{^*M}\otimes {}^{**}\rho^M} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{ev}_{^*M}} \\ {}^*C \otimes {}^*M \otimes M \otimes C & \xrightarrow[id_{^*C}\otimes id_{^*M}\otimes \beta_{M\otimes C}]{} & {}^*C \otimes {}^*M \otimes {}^{**}M \otimes {}^{**}C & \xrightarrow[\widetilde{ev}_{^*C\otimes {}^*M}]{} & k, \end{array}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_{M\otimes C} \circ \rho^M)(m)(\varepsilon_C \otimes \mu) &= \beta_M(m)({}^*(\rho^M)(\varepsilon_C \otimes \mu)) \\ &= \beta_M(m)(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

From now on, assume that $\beta \in Nat(F \circ id, F \circ {}^{**}())$ and $\mathfrak{g} \in hom_k(C, A)$ are in correspondence with each other.

Lemma 4.2. *β is a monoidal natural transformation if and only if g satisfies*

$$\Delta_A(\mathfrak{g}(cd)) = \mathfrak{g}(c) \otimes \mathfrak{g}(d), \tag{4.1}$$

for any $c, d \in C$, and

$$\varepsilon_A(\mathfrak{g}(1_C)) = 1_k. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Firstly, since $(k, id_k \otimes \varepsilon_A, id_k \otimes \eta_C) \in \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$, it is easy to check that $\sigma_k = id_k$ if and only if the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} k & \xrightarrow{id_k\otimes \eta_C} & k \otimes C & \xrightarrow{id_k\otimes \gamma} & k \otimes A \\ & \searrow^{id_k} & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id_k\otimes \varepsilon_A} \\ & & & & k \end{array}$$

is commutative, i.e., the identity (4.2) holds.

Secondly, if the equation (4.1) holds, then for any entwined modules M, N, $m \in M$, $n \in N$, $\mu \in {}^*M$, $\nu \in {}^*N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{M\otimes N}(\nu \otimes \mu)(m \otimes n) &= (\nu \otimes \mu)((m_0 \otimes n_0) \cdot \mathfrak{g}(m_1 n_1)) \\ &\overset{(4.1)}{=} (\nu \otimes \mu)(m_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}(m_1) \otimes n_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}(m_2)) \\ &= (\sigma_M \otimes \sigma_N)(\nu \otimes \mu)(m \otimes n), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that σ is monoidal.

Conversely, if σ is monoidal, we take $M = N = C \otimes A$. Then for any $c, d \in C$, $\delta, \gamma \in {}^*A$, for one thing, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_{(C\otimes A)\otimes(C\otimes A)}(\delta \otimes \varepsilon_C \otimes \gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)(c \otimes 1_A \otimes d \otimes 1_A) \\
&= (\delta \otimes \varepsilon_C \otimes \gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)((c_1 \otimes 1_A) \cdot (\mathfrak{g}(c_2 d_2))_1 \otimes (d_1 \otimes 1_A) \cdot (\mathfrak{g}(c_2 d_2)_2)) \\
&= \varepsilon_C(c_1)\delta((\mathfrak{g}(c_2 d_2))_1)\varepsilon_C(c_2)\gamma((\mathfrak{g}(c_2 d_2))_2) \\
&= (\delta \otimes \gamma)(\Delta_A(\mathfrak{g}(cd))).
\end{aligned}$$

For another, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\sigma_{C\otimes A} \otimes \sigma_{C\otimes A})((\delta \otimes \varepsilon_C) \otimes (\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C))((c \otimes 1_A) \otimes (d \otimes 1_A)) \\
&= (\delta \otimes \varepsilon_C)((c_1 \otimes 1_A) \cdot \mathfrak{g}(c_2))(\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)((d_1 \otimes 1_A) \cdot \mathfrak{g}(d_2) \\
&= (\delta \otimes \gamma)(\mathfrak{g}(c) \otimes \mathfrak{g}(d)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus that Eq.(4.1) holds because of the arbitrariness of δ and γ. □

Recall from [[5], Lemma 3.4], since $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is a rigid category, we immediately get the following property.

Proposition 4.3. *If β is a monoidal natural transformation, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is convolution invertible.*

Proof. Define $P' : Nat(F \circ {}^{**}(), F \circ id) \to hom_k(C, A)$ by

$$P'(\beta') : C \to A, \quad c \mapsto \sum (id_A \otimes \varepsilon_C)(\beta'_{A\otimes C}(1_{{}^{**}A} \otimes f^i) f_i(c),$$

where $\beta' \in Nat(F \circ id, F \circ {}^{**}())$, $1_{{}^{**}A}$ means the unit in ${}^{**}A$, $c \in C$, f_i and f^i are bases of ${}^*C$ and ${}^{**}C$ respectively, dual to each other.

Define $Q' : hom_k(C, A) \to Nat(F \circ {}^{**}(), F \circ id)$ by

$$Q'(h)_M : {}^{**}M \to M, \quad \varpi \mapsto \sum \varpi(\epsilon^i)\epsilon_{i0} \cdot h(\epsilon_{i1}),$$

where $h \in hom_k(C, A)$, $M \in \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$, $\varpi \in {}^{**}M$, ϵ_i and ϵ^i are bases of M and M^* respectively, dual to each other.

Obviously Q' is well-defined, and is the inverse of P'.

If β is a monoidal natural transformation, then β is invertible because [[5], Lemma 3.4]. Suppose that β' is the inverse of β. One can easily show that $P'(\beta') = \mathfrak{g}'$ is the entwined convolution inverse of $P(\beta) = \mathfrak{g}$. □

Lemma 4.4. *β is A-linear if and only if for any $a \in A$, $c \in C$, $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies*

$$\mathfrak{g}(c)S_A^2(a) = \sum a_\varphi \mathfrak{g}(c^\varphi). \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. $\Rightarrow$: Suppose that $\gamma \in {}^*A$, $c \in C$, $a \in A$. For one thing, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(\beta_{C\otimes A}(c \otimes 1_A) \cdot a)(\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C) &= \beta_{C\otimes A}(c \otimes 1_A)((\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C) \cdot S_A(a)) \\
&= (\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)((c \otimes 1_A)_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}((c \otimes 1_A)_1) \cdot S_A^2(a)) \\
&= (\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)(c_1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}(c_2)S_A^2(a)) = \gamma(\mathfrak{g}(c)S_A^2(a)).
\end{aligned}$$

For another, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\beta_{C\otimes A}((c \otimes 1_A) \cdot a))(\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C) &= (\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)((c \otimes a)_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}((c \otimes a)_1)) \\
&= \sum (\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)(c_1 \otimes a_\varphi \mathfrak{g}({c_2}^\varphi)) \\
&= \sum \gamma(a_\varphi \mathfrak{g}(c^\varphi)).
\end{aligned}$$

Since β is A-linear, we have $\gamma(\mathfrak{g}(c)S_A^2(a)) = \gamma(a_\varphi \mathfrak{g}(c^\varphi))$ which implies Eq.(4.3) holds.

$\Leftarrow$: For any $M \in \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$, $m \in M$, $\mu \in {}^*M$, $a \in A$, we have

$$\beta_M(m \cdot a)(\mu) \quad = \quad \sum \mu(m_0 \cdot a_\varphi \mathfrak{g}({m_1}^\varphi))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\overset{(4.3)}{=} && \mu(m_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}(m_1)S_A^2(a)) \\ &= && \beta_M(m)(\mu \cdot S_A(a)) = (\beta_M(m) \cdot a)(\mu), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that β is A-linear. □

Lemma 4.5. *β is C-colinear if and only if g satisfies*

$$\mathfrak{g}(c_1) \otimes c_2 = \sum \mathfrak{g}(c_2)_\varphi \otimes S_C^{-2}({c_1}^\varphi), \tag{4.4}$$

for any $c \in C$.

Proof. It can be proved by similar calculations in Lemma 4.4. □

Definition 4.6. Assume that C, A are two Hopf algebras with bijective antipodes over a field k, and (C, A, φ) is a monoidal entwining datum. If there exists a k-linear map $\mathfrak{g} : C \to A$, such that Eqs.(4.1)-(4.4) are satisfied. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is called an *entwined pivotal morphism* over (C, A, φ). Further, $(C, A, \varphi, \mathfrak{g})$ is called a *pivotal entwined datum*.

Combining Proposition 4.1 - Lemma 4.5, we can get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.7. *Assume that C, A are two Hopf algebras with bijective antipodes over k, $\varphi : C \otimes A \to A \otimes C$ is a k-linear map such that (C, A, φ) is a monoidal entwining datum. Then $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is a pivotal category with the pivotal structure β if and only if there is an entwined pivotal morphism $\mathfrak{g} \in Hom_k(C, A)$. Moreover, β is defined by*

$$\beta_M : M \to {}^{**}M, \quad \beta_M(m)(\mu) = \mu(m_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}(m_1)), \quad \text{where } \mu \in {}^*M, \quad m \in M$$

for any $(M, \theta_M, \rho^M) \in \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$.

Example 4.8. If $C = k$, $\varphi = id_A$, then the entwined pivotal morphism is an element $\mathfrak{g} \in A$ satisfies
$\begin{cases} (1)\ \Delta_A(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}; \\ (2)\ \varepsilon_A(\mathfrak{g}) = 1_k; \\ (3)\ \mathfrak{g}S_A(a) = S_A^{-1}(a)\mathfrak{g}, \end{cases}$
for any $a \in A$, which implies $\mathfrak{g}$ is a *pivot* (or a *sovereign element*) in A (see [1], or [4]), and A is a pivotal Hopf algebra.

Example 4.9. If $A = k$, $\varphi = id_C$, then the entwined pivotal morphism is a k-linear character $\mathfrak{g} \in C^*$, satisfies
$\begin{cases} (1)\ \mathfrak{g}(cd) = \mathfrak{g}(c)\mathfrak{g}(d); \\ (2)\ \mathfrak{g}(1_C) = 1_k; \\ (3)\ \mathfrak{g}(c_1)S_C(c_2) = S_C^{-1}(c_1)\mathfrak{g}(c_2), \end{cases}$
for any $c, d \in C$, which implies $\mathfrak{g}$ is a *copivot* (or a *sovereign character*) on C (see [1], or [4]), and C is a copivotal Hopf algebra.

Example 4.10. If $(C, A, \varphi, \mathfrak{g})$ is a pivotal entwined datum, and the following identity hold

$$\sum a_\varphi \otimes (1_C)^\varphi = a \otimes 1_C, \quad \text{for any } a \in A,$$

then $(A, \mathfrak{g}(1_C))$ is a pivotal Hopf algebra.

Example 4.11. If $(C, A, \varphi, \mathfrak{g})$ is a pivotal entwined datum, and the following identity hold

$$\sum \varepsilon_A(a_\varphi)c^\varphi = \varepsilon_A(a)c, \quad \text{for any } a \in A,\ c \in C,$$

then $(C, \varepsilon_A \circ \mathfrak{g})$ is a copivotal Hopf algebra.

Example 4.12. Let k be a field and H_4 be the Sweedler's 4-dimensional Hopf algebra $H_4 = k\{1_H, e, x, y | e^2 = 1_H, x^2 = 0, y = ex = -xe\}$ with the following structure

$$\Delta(e) = e \otimes e,\ \Delta(x) = x \otimes 1_H + e \otimes x,\ \Delta(y) = y \otimes e + 1_H \otimes y,$$
$$\varepsilon(e) = 1,\ \varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon(y) = 0,\ S(e) = e,\ S(x) = -y,\ S(y) = x.$$

Recall from [[4], Example 2.8] that H_4 is both a pivotal Hopf algebra and a copivotal Hopf algebra. Moreover, the pivot in H_4 is e, and the copivot on H_4 is $I - E$, where I, E are dual bases of 1_{H_4} and e.

5. The ribbon structure in the category of entwined modules

Now suppose that (C, A, φ, R) is a double quantum group over k, thus $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is a braided category with the braiding which is defined by Eq.(2.1). We also assume that $Nat(F \circ id, F \circ id)$ means the collection of natural transformations from the functor $F \circ id : \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi) \to Vec_k$ to itself. Then we have the following property.

Proposition 5.1. *There is a bijective map between the algebra $Nat(F \circ id, F \circ id)$ and $hom_k(C, A)$.*

Proof. See [[15], Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.4]

Actually, one can define a map $\Pi : Nat(F \circ id, F \circ id) \to hom_k(C, A)$ by

$$\Pi(\theta) : C \to A, \quad c \mapsto \sum (\varepsilon_C \otimes A)\theta_{C\otimes A}(c \otimes 1_A),$$

where $\theta \in Nat(F \circ id, F \circ id)$, $c \in C$. Define $\Sigma : hom_k(C, A) \to Nat(F \circ id, F \circ id)$ by

$$\Sigma(g)_M : M \to M, \quad m \mapsto m_0 \cdot g(m_1),$$

where $g \in hom_k(C, A)$, $M \in \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$, $m \in M$. It is a direct computation to check that Π and Σ are well-defined and inverse with each other. □

Corollary 5.2. *$Nat(F \circ id, F \circ id)$ and $Nat(F \circ id, F \circ F \circ {}^{**}())$ are isomorphic with each other.*

From now on, assume that $\theta \in Nat(F \circ id, F \circ id)$ and $g \in hom_k(C, A)$ are in correspondence with each other.

Lemma 5.3. *θ is a natural isomorphism if and only g is invertible under the entwined convolution.*

Proof. Straightforward from Proposition 5.1. □

Lemma 5.4. *For any $(M, \varrho_M, \rho^M) \in \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$, θ_M is A-linear if and only if g satisfies*

$$g(c)a = \sum a_\varphi g(c^\varphi), \qquad \text{for any } \ c \in C,\ a \in A. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. $\Leftarrow$: Since the following diagram



commutes for any $m \in M$, $a \in A$, θ_M is an A-module morphism.

$\Rightarrow$: Conversely, for the entwined module $A \otimes C$, since $\theta_{A\otimes C}$ is A-linear, then for any $c \in C$ and $a \in A$, we have the following commute diagram



which implies

$$\sum a_{\varphi} g(c^{\varphi}{}_2)_{\psi} \otimes c^{\varphi}{}_1{}^{\psi} = \sum g(c_2)_{\varphi} a_{\psi} \otimes c_1{}^{\varphi\psi}.$$

Take $id_A \otimes \varepsilon_C$ to action at the both side of the above equation, we immediately get Eq.(5.1). □

Lemma 5.5. *For any $(M, \varrho_M, \rho^M) \in \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$, θ_M is C-colinear if and only if g satisfies*

$$g(c_1) \otimes c_2 = \sum g(c_2)_{\varphi} \otimes c_1{}^{\varphi}, \qquad \text{for any } \ c \in C. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. Be similar with Lemma 5.4. □

Lemma 5.6. *Suppose that θ is a natural transformation in $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$, then θ is a twist if and only if for any $x, y \in C$, g satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta_A(g(xy)) = \\ &\quad \sum g(x_1) r^{(2)}(x_3 \otimes y_3)_{\psi} R^{(1)}(y_2{}^{\varphi} \otimes x_2{}^{\psi}) \otimes g(y_1) r^{(1)}(x_3 \otimes y_3)_{\varphi} R^{(2)}(y_2{}^{\varphi} \otimes x_2{}^{\psi}).\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. $\Leftarrow$: For any $M, N \in \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ and $m \in M$, $n \in N$, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathbf{C}_{N,M} \circ \mathbf{C}_{M,N} \circ (\theta_M \otimes \theta_N))(m \otimes n) \\
= \ & (\mathbf{C}_{N,M} \circ \mathbf{C}_{M,N})(m_0 \cdot g(m_1) \otimes n_0 \cdot g(n_1)) \\
\overset{(5.2)}{=} \ & \mathbf{C}_{N,M}(\sum (n_{00} \cdot g(n_1)_{\varphi} \otimes m_{00} \cdot g(m_1)_{\psi}) \cdot R(m_{01}{}^{\psi} \otimes n_{01}{}^{\varphi})) \\
\overset{(E1)}{=} \ & \sum m_0 \cdot g(m_2)_{\varphi} R^{(2)}(m_3 \otimes n_3)_{\phi} r^{(1)}(n_1{}^{\psi\chi} \otimes m_1{}^{\varphi\phi}) \otimes n_0 \cdot g(n_2)_{\psi} R^{(1)}(m_3 \otimes n_3)_{\chi} r^{(2)}(n_1{}^{\psi\chi} \otimes m_1{}^{\varphi\phi}) \\
\overset{(5.2)}{=} \ & \sum m_0 \cdot g(m_1) R^{(2)}(m_3 \otimes n_3)_{\varphi} r^{(1)}(n_2{}^{\psi} \otimes m_2{}^{\varphi}) \otimes n_0 \cdot g(n_1) R^{(1)}(m_3 \otimes n_3)_{\psi} r^{(2)}(n_2{}^{\psi} \otimes m_2{}^{\varphi}) \\
\overset{(5.3)}{=} \ & (m_0 \otimes n_0) \cdot (g(m_1 n_1)),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies θ is a twist.

$\Rightarrow$: Conversely, for the entwined modules $C \otimes A$ and $A \otimes C$, since θ is a twist, then for any $x, y \in C$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathbf{C}_{A\otimes C, C\otimes A} \circ \mathbf{C}_{C\otimes A, A\otimes C} \circ (\theta_{C\otimes A} \otimes \theta_{A\otimes C}))((x \otimes 1_A) \otimes (1_A \otimes y)) \\
= \ & \theta_{C\otimes A, A\otimes C}((x \otimes 1_A) \otimes (1_A \otimes y)),
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathbf{C}_{A\otimes C, C\otimes A} \circ \mathbf{C}_{C\otimes A, A\otimes C} \circ (\theta_{C\otimes A} \otimes \theta_{A\otimes C}))((x \otimes 1_A) \otimes (1_A \otimes y)) \\
\overset{(5.2)}{=} \ & (\mathbf{C}_{A\otimes C, C\otimes A} \circ \mathbf{C}_{C\otimes A, A\otimes C})((x_1 \otimes g(x_2)) \otimes (g(y_1) \otimes y_2)) \\
= \ & \mathbf{C}_{A\otimes C, C\otimes A}(\sum (g(y_1) R^{(1)}(x_3 \otimes y_3)_{\varphi} \otimes y_2{}^{\varphi}) \otimes (x_1 \otimes g(x_2) R^{(2)}(x_3 \otimes y_3))) \\
\overset{(E1)}{=} \ & \sum x_1 \otimes g(x_3)_{\psi} R^{(2)}(x_4 \otimes y_3)_{\phi} r^{(1)}(y_2{}^{\varphi}{}_2 \otimes x_2{}^{\psi\phi}) \otimes g(y_1) R^{(1)}(x_4 \otimes y_3)_{\varphi} r^{(2)}(y_2{}^{\varphi}{}_2 \otimes x_2{}^{\psi\phi})_{\chi} \otimes y_2{}^{\varphi}{}_1{}^{\chi},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{C\otimes A, A\otimes C}((x \otimes 1_A) \otimes (1_A \otimes y)) \\
= \ & x_1 \otimes g(x_1 y_1)_1 \otimes g(x_1 y_1)_2 \otimes y_1,
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum x_1 \otimes g(x_3)_{\psi} R^{(2)}(x_4 \otimes y_3)_{\phi} r^{(1)}(y_2{}^{\varphi}{}_2 \otimes x_2{}^{\psi\phi}) \otimes g(y_1) R^{(1)}(x_4 \otimes y_3)_{\varphi} r^{(2)}(y_2{}^{\varphi}{}_2 \otimes x_2{}^{\psi\phi})_{\chi} \otimes y_2{}^{\varphi}{}_1{}^{\chi} \\
&\quad = x_1 \otimes g(x_1 y_1)_1 \otimes g(x_1 y_1)_2 \otimes y_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Take $\varepsilon_C \otimes id_A id_A \otimes \varepsilon_C$ to action at the both side of the above equation, we immediately get Eq.(5.3). □

Recall from Theorem 3.2, we get that $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is a rigid category. Then we get the following property.

Lemma 5.7. *θ is self-dual in $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ if and only if g satisfies*

$$g(c) = \sum (S_A^{-1} g S_C(c^\varphi))_\varphi, \quad \text{for any } c \in C. \tag{5.4}$$

Or equivalently,

$$g(c) = \sum a_{i\varphi} a^i (S_A^{-1} g S_C(c^\varphi)), \quad \text{for any } \gamma \in A^*,\ c \in C, \tag{5.5}$$

where a_i and a^i are bases of A and A^* respectively, dual to each other.

Proof. $\Leftarrow$: For any object $M \in \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$, suppose that o_i and o^i are dual bases of M and M^*, a_i and a^i are dual bases of A and A^*, $\mu \in M^*$, $m \in M$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{M^*}(\mu)(m) &= (\mu_0 \cdot g(\mu_1))(m) = \mu_0(m \cdot S_A^{-1} g(\mu_1)) \\
&\overset{(TR2)}{=} \sum (\varrho_M)^*(\mu_0)(m \otimes S_A^{-1} g(\mu_1)) \\
&\overset{(TR1)}{=} \sum \mu_0(o_i \cdot a_i) a^i (S_A^{-1} g(\mu_1)) o^i(m) \\
&= \sum \mu(o_{i0} \cdot a_{i\varphi}) a^i (S_A^{-1} g S_C({o_{i1}}^\varphi)) o^i(m) \\
&= \sum \mu(m_0 \cdot (S_A^{-1} g S_C({m_1}^\varphi))_\varphi) \\
&\overset{(5.4)}{=} \mu(m_0 \cdot g(m_1)) = (\theta_M)^*(\mu)(m).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus θ is self-dual in $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$.

$\Rightarrow$: Conversely, for $(C \otimes A)^* \in \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$, since θ is self-dual, we have

$$\theta_{(C\otimes A)^*}(\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)(c \otimes 1_A) = (\theta_{C\otimes A})^*(\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)(c \otimes 1_A), \quad \text{where } \gamma \in A^*,\ c \in C.$$

For one thing, consider that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\theta_{C\otimes A})^*(\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)(c \otimes 1_A) &= (\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)((c_1 \otimes 1_A) \cdot g(c_2)) \\
&= \gamma(g(c)).
\end{aligned}$$

For another, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta_{(C\otimes A)^*}(\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)(c \otimes 1_A) \\
\overset{(TR2)}{=}\ &\sum (\varrho_{C\otimes A})^*((\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)_0)((c \otimes 1_A) \otimes S_A^{-1} g((\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)_1)) \\
\overset{(TR1)}{=}\ &\sum (\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)_0((c_i \otimes b_i) \cdot a_i) a^i (S_A^{-1} g((\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)_1))(b^i \otimes c^i)(c \otimes 1_A) \\
=\ &\sum (\gamma \otimes \varepsilon_C)(c_{i1} \otimes (b_i a_i)_\varphi) a^i (S_A^{-1} g S_C({c_{i2}}^\varphi)) c^i(c) b^i(1_A) \\
=\ &\sum \gamma(a_{i\varphi}) a^i (S_A^{-1} g S_C(c^\varphi)) = \gamma((S_A^{-1} g S_C(c^\varphi))_\varphi),
\end{aligned}$$

where c_i and c^i are dual bases of C and C^*, a_i and a^i, b_i and b^i are two dual bases of A and A^*. Hence Eq.(5.4) holds. □

Definition 5.8. Assume that C, A are two Hopf algebras with bijective antipodes over a field k, and (C, A, φ, R) is a double quantum group. If there exists a k-linear map $g : C \to A$, such that g is invertible under the entwined comvolution, and Eqs.(5.1)-(5.4) are satisfied, then g is called an *entwined ribbon morphism* over (C, A, φ, R). Further, (C, A, φ, R, g) is called a *ribbon entwined datum*.

Combining Proposition 5.1 - Lemma 5.7, we can get our main theorem below.

Theorem 5.9. *Assume that C, A are two Hopf algebras with bijective antipodes over k, $\varphi : C \otimes A \to A \otimes C$ and $R : C \otimes C \to A \otimes A$ are two k-linear maps such that (C, A, φ, R) is a double quantum group. Then $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is a ribbon category if and only if there is an entwined ribbon morphism $g \in Hom_k(C, A)$. Moreover, the ribbon structure θ in $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is defined by*

$$\theta_M : M \to M, \quad \theta_M(m) = m_0 \cdot g(m_1)), \quad \text{where} \quad m \in M$$

for any $(M, \theta_M, \rho^M) \in \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$.

Example 5.10. If $C = k$, $\varphi = id_A$, then the double quantum group (C, A, φ, R) becomes a quasitriangular Hopf algebra (A, R), where R means the R-matrix in A. And the entwined ribbon morphism becomes an invertible element $g \in A$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (1)\ g \text{ is in the center of } A; \\ (2)\ \Delta(g) = (g \otimes g)R_{21}R; \\ (3)\ g = S(g), \end{cases}$$

which implies g is a usual ribbon element in A, thus A is a ribbon Hopf algebra.

Example 5.11. If $A = k$, $\varphi = id_C$, then the double quantum group (C, A, φ, R) becomes a coquasitriangular Hopf algebra (C, R). And the entwined ribbon morphism is a convolution invertible k-linear character $g \in C^*$, satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (1)\ g(c_1)c_2 = c_1 g(c_2); \\ (2)\ g(cd) = g(c_1)g(d_1)R(c_2 \otimes d_2)R(d_3 \otimes c_3); \\ (3)\ g(c) = g(S(c)), \end{cases}$$

for any $c, d \in C$, which implies g is a coribbon form on C, thus C is a coribbon Hopf algebra.

Example 5.12. Assume that (C, A, φ, R, g) is a ribbon entwined datum. If the following identity hold

$$\sum a_\varphi \otimes (1_C)^\varphi = a \otimes 1_C, \quad \text{for any } a \in A,$$

then $(A, R(1_C \otimes 1_C))$ is a quasitriangular Hopf algebra. Further, $(A, g(1_C))$ is a ribbon Hopf algebra.

If the following identity hold

$$\sum \varepsilon_A(a_\varphi)c^\varphi = \varepsilon_A(a)c, \quad \text{for any } c \in C,\ a \in A,$$

then $(C, (\varepsilon_A \otimes \varepsilon_A) \circ R)$ is a coquasitriangular Hopf algebra. Further, $(C, \varepsilon_A \circ g)$ is a coribbon Hopf algebra.

6. Entwined smash product

6.1. The entwined smash product.

Definition 6.1. Let A, B be algebras in a monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$. A morphism $\Phi : B \otimes A \to A \otimes B$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is called an *algebra distributive law* if Φ satisfying

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B \otimes B \otimes A & & \xrightarrow{m_B \otimes id_A} & & B \otimes A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle id_B \otimes \Phi} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi} \\ B \otimes A \otimes B & \xrightarrow[\Phi \otimes id_B]{} & A \otimes B \otimes B & \xrightarrow[id_A \otimes m_B]{} & A \otimes B, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\eta_B \otimes id_A} & B \otimes A \\ & \searrow^{id_A \otimes \eta_B} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi} \\ & & A \otimes B, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B \otimes A \otimes A & & \xrightarrow{id_B \otimes m_A} & & B \otimes A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi \otimes id_A} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi} \\ A \otimes B \otimes A & \xrightarrow[id_A \otimes \Phi]{} & A \otimes A \otimes B & \xrightarrow[m_A \otimes id_B]{} & A \otimes B, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{id_B \otimes \eta_A} & B \otimes A \\ & \searrow^{\eta_A \otimes id_B} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi} \\ & & A \otimes B. \end{array}$$

Be similar with [[10], Theorem 8], we have the following property.

Lemma 6.2. *Let C be a finite dimensional coalgebra and A a finite dimensional algebra over k. Then give an entwining map $\varphi : C \otimes A \to A \otimes C$ is identified to give an algebra distributive law $\Phi : A \otimes C^{*op} \to C^{*op} \otimes A$.*

Proof. If there is an entwining map $\varphi : c \otimes a \mapsto \sum a_\varphi \otimes c^\varphi$, one can define a linear map $\Phi : A \otimes C^{*op} \to C^{*op} \otimes A$ by

$$\Phi(a \otimes p) = \sum p^\Phi \otimes a_\Phi := \sum p(e_i{}^\varphi) e^i \otimes a_\varphi,$$

where $c \in C$, $a \in A$, $p \in C^*$, e_i and e^i are dual bases of C and C^*. It is straightforward to see that Φ is an algebra distributive law.

Conversely, if is an algebra distributive law $\Phi : a \otimes p \mapsto \sum p^\Phi \otimes a_\Phi$, one can define a linear map $\varphi : C \otimes A \to A \otimes C$ by

$$\varphi(c \otimes a) = \sum a_\varphi \otimes c^\varphi := \sum e^i{}^\Phi(c) a_\Phi \otimes e_i.$$

Also it can be easily checked that φ is an entwining map. □

Recall from [[9], Theorem 2.5], if there is an algebra distributive law $\Phi : B \otimes A \to A \otimes B$, then $(A \otimes B, (m_A \otimes m_B) \circ (id_A \otimes \Phi \otimes id_B), \eta_A \otimes \eta_B)$ is also an algebra.

Now we supposed that (C, A, φ) is a monoidal entwining datum over k where C, A are two Hopf algebras with bijective antipodes.

Definition 6.3. The *entwined smash product* $C^{*op} \otimes A$ of the entwining structure (C, A, φ), in a form containing C^{*op} and A, is a Hopf algebra with the following structures:

- the multiplication $\widehat{m}$ is given by

$$(p \otimes a)(q \otimes b) := \sum p *^{op} e^i \otimes a_\varphi bq(e_i{}^\varphi) = \sum e^i * p \otimes a_\varphi bq(e_i{}^\varphi),$$

where $a, b \in A$, $p, q \in C^{*op}$, e_i and e^i are dual bases of C and C^*;
- the unit is $\widehat{\eta}(1_k) = \varepsilon_C \otimes 1_A$;
- the comultiplication is given by

$$\widehat{\Delta}(p \otimes a) := (p_1 \otimes a_1) \otimes (p_2 \otimes a_2);$$

- the counit is given by

$$\widehat{\varepsilon}(p \otimes a) := p(1_C)\varepsilon_A(a);$$

- the antipode is given by

$$\widehat{S}(p \otimes a) := \sum p(S_C^{-1}(e_i{}^\varphi)) e^i \otimes S_A(a)_\varphi.$$

Proof. Firstly, since Lemma 6.2, $C^{*op} \otimes A$ is an algebra.

Next we will show $C^{*op} \otimes A$ is a bialgebra. Obviously, $C^{*op} \otimes A$ is a coalgebra under the given comultiplication. We only need check that $\widehat{\Delta}$ and $\widehat{\varepsilon}$ are algebra maps.

For $p, q \in C^{*op}$, $a, b \in A$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{\Delta}(p \otimes a)\widehat{\Delta}(q \otimes b) \\ &= ((p_1 \otimes a_1) \otimes (p_2 \otimes a_2))((q_1 \otimes b_2) \otimes (q_1 \otimes b_2)) \\ &= \sum p_1 *^{op} e^i \otimes a_{1\varphi} b_1 q_1(e_i{}^\varphi) \otimes p_2 *^{op} o^i \otimes a_{2\psi} b_2 q_2(o_i{}^\psi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any $c, d \in C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum (p_1 *^{op} e^i)(c) \otimes a_{1\varphi} b_1 q_1(e_i{}^\varphi) \otimes (p_2 *^{op} o^i)(d) \otimes a_{2\psi} b_2 q_2(o_i{}^\psi) \\ &= \sum p(c_2 d_2) \otimes a_{1\varphi} b_1 \otimes q(c_1{}^\varphi d_1{}^\psi) \otimes a_{2\psi} b_2. \end{aligned}$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Delta}((p \otimes a)(q \otimes b)) &= \widehat{\Delta}(\sum p *^{op} e^i \otimes a_\varphi bq(e_i{}^\varphi)) \\ &= \sum p_1 *^{op} e^i{}_1 \otimes a_{\varphi 1} b_1 \otimes p_2 *^{op} e^i{}_2 \otimes a_{\varphi 2} b_2 q(e_i{}^\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

Then for $c, d \in C$, we obtain

$$\sum (p_1 *^{op} e^i{}_1)(c) \otimes a_{\varphi 1} b_1 \otimes (p_2 *^{op} e^i{}_2)(d) \otimes a_{\varphi 2} b_2 q(e_i{}^\varphi)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum p(c_2d_2)\otimes a_{\varphi 1}b_1\otimes q((c_1d_1)^{\varphi})\otimes a_{\varphi 2}b_2 \\ &\overset{(E5)}{=} \sum p(c_2d_2)\otimes a_{1\varphi}b_1\otimes q(c_1{}^{\varphi}d_1{}^{\psi})\otimes a_{2\psi}b_2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\widehat{\Delta}((p\otimes a)(q\otimes b))=\widehat{\Delta}(p\otimes a)\widehat{\Delta}(q\otimes b)$.

Since $\widehat{\varepsilon}$ preserves multiplication, $C^{*op}\otimes A=(C^{*op}\otimes A,\widehat{m},\varepsilon_C\otimes 1_A,\widehat{\Delta},\widehat{\varepsilon})$ is a bialgebra.

In order to prove $\widehat{S}$ is the antipode of $C^{*op}\otimes A$, for one thing, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{S}((p\otimes a)_1)(p\otimes a)_2 \\ &=\sum p_1(S_C^{-1}(e_i{}^{\varphi}))(e^i\otimes S_A(a_1)_{\varphi})(p_2\otimes a_2) \\ &=\sum p(S_C^{-1}(e_i{}^{\varphi})o_i{}^{\psi})e^i *^{op} o^i\otimes S_A(a_1)_{\varphi\psi}a_2. \end{aligned}$$

For any $c\in C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum p(S_C^{-1}(e_i{}^{\varphi})o_i{}^{\psi})(e^i *^{op} o^i)(c)\otimes S_A(a_1)_{\varphi\psi}a_2 \\ = &\sum p(S_C^{-1}(c_2{}^{\varphi})c_1{}^{\psi})\otimes S_A(a_1)_{\varphi\psi}a_2 \\ \overset{(E2)}{=} &\sum p(S_C^{-1}(c^{\varphi}{}_2)c^{\varphi}{}_1)\otimes S_A(a_1)_{\varphi}a_2 \\ = &\ p(1_C)\varepsilon_C(c)\otimes S_A(a_1)a_2=p(1_C)\varepsilon_C(c)\otimes\varepsilon_A(a)1_A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\widehat{S}*id=\widehat{\eta}\widehat{\varepsilon}$. Similarly, one can show that $id*\widehat{S}=\widehat{\eta}\widehat{\varepsilon}$. Hence $(C^{*op}\otimes A,\widehat{S})$ is a Hopf algebra. □

Next we will present the proof of Eq.(3.2).

Proof. For any $a\in A$, $c\in C$, $p\in C^*$, $\gamma\in A^*$, since $\widehat{S}$ is the antipode of $C^{*op}\otimes A$, we have

$$\widehat{S}((\varepsilon_c\otimes a)(p\otimes 1_A))=\widehat{S}(p\otimes 1_A)\widehat{S}(\varepsilon_c\otimes a).$$

For one thing, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S}((\varepsilon_c\otimes a)(p\otimes 1_A)) &= \widehat{S}(e^i\otimes a_{\varphi})p(e_i{}^{\varphi}) \\ &= \sum p(S_C^{-1}(o_i{}^{\psi})^{\varphi})o_i\otimes S_A(a_{\varphi})_{\psi}, \end{aligned}$$

where e_i (o_i) and e^i (o^i) are dual bases of C and C^* respectively.

For another, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S}(p\otimes 1_A)\widehat{S}(\varepsilon_c\otimes a) &= (p(S_C^{-1}(e_i))e^i\otimes 1_A)(\varepsilon_C\otimes S_A(a)) \\ &= \sum p(S_C^{-1}(e_i))e^i\otimes S_A(a). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sum p(S_C^{-1}(o_i{}^{\psi})^{\varphi})o_i\otimes S_A(a_{\varphi})_{\psi}=\sum p(S_C^{-1}(e_i))e^i\otimes S_A(a)$. Indeed, we can easily get

$$\sum p(S_C^{-1}(o_i{}^{\psi})^{\varphi})o_i(c)\otimes\gamma(S_A(a_{\varphi})_{\psi})=\sum p(S_C^{-1}(e_i))e^i(c)\otimes\gamma(S_A(a)),$$

i.e.

$$\sum p(S_C^{-1}(c^{\psi})^{\varphi})\otimes\gamma(S_A(a_{\varphi})_{\psi})=\sum p(S_C^{-1}(c))\otimes\gamma(S_A(a)),$$

which implies Eq.(3.2). □

Be similar with [[10], Theorem 9], we have the following property.

Proposition 6.4. *The category of entwined modules $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is monoidal isomorphic to the representation category of $C^{*op}\otimes A$.*

Proof. For any object (M, θ_M, ρ^M), and morphism $\lambda : M \to N$ in $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$, one can define a functor Γ from $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ to the category of right $C^{*op} \otimes A$-modules via

$$\Gamma(M) := M \text{ as } k\text{-modules }, \quad \Gamma(f) := f,$$

where the $C^{*op} \otimes A$-module structure on M is given by

$$m \leftharpoonup (p \otimes a) := p(m_1)m_0 \cdot a, \quad \text{for all } m \in M,\ p \in C^*,\ a \in A.$$

First of all, we claim that Γ is well-defined. In fact,for any $m \in M$, $p, q \in C^*$, $a, b \in A$, we have

$$m \leftharpoonup (\varepsilon_C \otimes 1_A) = \varepsilon_C(m_1)m_0 \cdot 1_A = m.$$

Also, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}(m \leftharpoonup (p \otimes a)) \leftharpoonup (q \otimes b) &= p(m_1)(m_0 \cdot a) \leftharpoonup (q \otimes b) \\ &= \sum p(m_2)e^i(m_1)m_0 \cdot a_\varphi bq({e_i}^\varphi) \\ &= m \leftharpoonup (p \otimes a)(q \otimes b),\end{aligned}$$

where e_i and e^i are dual bases of C and C^* respectively. Hence $(M, \leftharpoonup)$ is a right $C^{*op} \otimes A$-module.

For the morphism $\lambda : M \to N$, it is a direct computation to check $\Gamma(\lambda)$ is $C^{*op} \otimes A$-linear. Thus Γ is well-defined.

Conversely, we define the functor Λ from the representation category of $C^{*op} \otimes A$ to $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ by

$$\Lambda(U) := U \text{ as } k\text{-modules }, \quad \Lambda(\lambda) := \lambda,$$

where $(U, \leftharpoonup)$ is a right $C^{*op} \otimes A$-module, $\lambda : U \to V$ is a morphism of $C^{*op} \otimes A$-modules. Further, the A-action on U is defined by

$$u \cdot a := u \leftharpoonup (\varepsilon_C \otimes a), \quad \text{for any } u \in U, \quad a \in A,$$

and the C-coaction on U is given by

$$\rho^U(u) = u_0 \otimes u_1 := \sum (u \leftharpoonup (e^i \otimes 1_A)) \otimes e_i.$$

Next we will show that Λ is well defined. It is straightforward to show $(U, \cdot)$ is an A-module and (U, ρ^U) is a C-comodule. We only check U satisfies Diagram (E0).

Since for any $a \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^U(u \cdot a) &= \sum (u \cdot a \leftharpoonup (e^i \otimes 1_A)) \otimes e_i \\ &= \sum (u \leftharpoonup (e^i({o_i}^\varphi)o^i \otimes a_\varphi)) \otimes e_i \\ &= \sum (u \leftharpoonup (e^i \otimes 1_A)(\varepsilon_C \otimes a_\varphi)) \otimes {e_i}^\varphi \\ &= \sum u_0 \cdot a_\varphi \otimes {u_1}^\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

hence $U \in \mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$.

Since $\Lambda(\lambda) : U \to V$ are both A-linear and C-colinear, Λ is well-defined, as desired.

Obviously Γ is a strict monoidal functor, and Λ is the inverse of Γ. This completes the proof. □

Remark 6.5. Be similar with Lemma 6.2 and Proposition 6.4, for any finite dimensional k-algebras A and B, if $\Phi : B \otimes A \to A \otimes B$, $b \otimes a \mapsto \sum a^\Phi \otimes b_\Phi$, is an algebra distributive law, then there is an entwining map $\varphi : A^{*cop} \otimes B \to B \otimes A^{*cop}$, defined by

$$\varphi(\gamma \otimes b) := \sum \gamma({e_i}^\Phi)b_\Phi \otimes e^i, \quad \text{where } b \in B, \quad \gamma \in A^*, \quad e_i \text{ and } e^i \text{ are dual bases of } A \text{ and } A^*.$$

Conversely, if there an entwining map $\varphi : A^{*cop} \otimes B \to B \otimes A^{*cop}$, $\gamma \otimes b \mapsto \sum b_\varphi \otimes \gamma^\varphi$, then one can define an algebra distributive law $\Phi : B \otimes A \to A \otimes B$ via

$$\Phi(b \otimes a) := \sum {e^i}^\varphi(a)e_i \otimes b_\varphi.$$

Moreover, the category of $A \otimes B$-modules is identified to $\mathcal{C}_B^{A^{*cop}}(\varphi)$, the category of entwined modules.

Example 6.6. (1). If we define $\varphi : H \otimes H \to H \otimes H$ by $\varphi(x \otimes y) = y_1 \otimes xy_2$, where $x, y \in H$ and H is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra over k, then the category $\mathcal{C}_H^H(\varphi)$ is the category of Hopf modules. Recall from Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 6.4, if we define the following multiplication on $H^{*op} \otimes H$

$$(\delta \otimes a)(\gamma \otimes b) := \gamma(?a_2)\delta \otimes a_1 b,$$

then $H^{*op} \otimes H$ is an associative algebra, and the category of Hopf modules of H is identified to the category of $H^{*op} \otimes H$-modules.

(2). Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra and A a finite dimensional left H-module algebra. Recall that the multiplication on $A\sharp H$, the usual smash product of A and H is

$$(a\sharp x)(b\sharp y) = a(x_1 \cdot b)\sharp x_2 y, \quad \text{where } a, b \in A, \quad x, y \in H,$$

which implies there is an algebra distributive law $\Phi : H \otimes A \to A \otimes H$, $\Phi(h \otimes a) = h_1 \cdot a \otimes h_2$. Thus from Remark 4.6, there exists an entwining map $\varphi : A^{*cop} \otimes H \to H \otimes A^{*cop}$, defined by

$$\varphi(\gamma \otimes h) := \sum \gamma(h_1 \cdot e_i)h_2 \otimes e^i, \quad \text{where } h \in H, \quad \gamma \in A^*, \quad e_i \text{ and } e^i \text{ are dual bases of } A \text{ and } A^*.$$

Furthermore, the representations of $A\sharp H$ is isomorphic to the category $\mathcal{C}_H^{A^{*cop}}(\varphi)$.

Theorem 6.7. *There exists a k-linear map $\mathfrak{g} : C \to A$ such that $(C, A, \varphi, \mathfrak{g})$ is a pivotal entwined datum if and only if $C^{*op} \otimes A$ is a pivotal Hopf algebra.*

Proof. $\Rightarrow$: If $(C, A, \varphi, \mathfrak{g})$ is a pivotal entwined datum, then the pivot element in $C^{*op} \otimes A$ is $\sum e^i \otimes \mathfrak{g}(e_i)$, where e_i and e^i are dual bases of C and C^* respectively.

$\Leftarrow$: Conversely, if $C^{*op} \otimes A$ is a pivotal Hopf algebra with the pivot $T = \sum T^{(1)} \otimes T^{(2)} \in C^{*op} \otimes A$, then the entwined pivotal morphism of $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is $c \mapsto \sum T^{(1)}(c)T^{(2)}$. □

Theorem 6.8. *Suppose that (C, A, φ, R) is a double quantum group where R is a map from $C \otimes C$ to $A \otimes A$. Then there exists a k-linear map $g : C \to A$ such that (C, A, φ, R, g) is a ribbon entwined datum if and only if $C^{*op} \otimes A$ is a ribbon Hopf algebra.*

Proof. $\Rightarrow$: If (C, A, φ, R, g) is a ribbon entwined datum, then the R-matrix of $C^{*op} \otimes A$ is $\sum c^i \otimes R^{(2)}(c_i \otimes e_i) \otimes e^i \otimes R^{(1)}(c_i \otimes e_i)$, where e_i and e^i, c_i and c^i are all dual bases of C and C^* respectively. Further, the ribbon element in $C^{*op} \otimes A$ is $\sum e^i \otimes g(e_i)$, where e_i and e^i are dual bases of C and C^* respectively.

$\Leftarrow$: Conversely, if $C^{*op} \otimes A$ is a ribbon Hopf algebra with the ribbon element $L = \sum L^{(1)} \otimes L^{(2)} \in C^{*op} \otimes A$, then the entwined ribbon morphism of $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is $c \mapsto \sum L^{(1)}(c)L^{(2)}$. □

6.2. **The dual case.**

The definition and results in this section are dual to the corresponding results in Section 4.1, so we will not give the complete proof.

Definition 6.9. Let C, D be coalgebras in a monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$. A morphism $\Psi : C \otimes D \to D \otimes C$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is called a *coalgebra distributive law* if Ψ satisfying

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes D & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & D \otimes C \\ {\scriptstyle id_C \otimes \Delta_D}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Delta_D \otimes id_C} \\ C \otimes D \otimes D & \xrightarrow[\Psi \otimes id_D]{} D \otimes C \otimes D & \xrightarrow[id_D \otimes \Psi]{} D \otimes D \otimes C, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes D & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & D \otimes C \\ & {\scriptstyle id_C \otimes \varepsilon_D} \searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varepsilon_D \otimes id_C} \\ & & C, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes D & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & D \otimes C \\ {\scriptstyle \Delta_C \otimes id_D}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id_D \otimes \Delta_C} \\ C \otimes C \otimes D & \xrightarrow[id_C \otimes \Psi]{} C \otimes D \otimes C & \xrightarrow[\Psi \otimes id_C]{} D \otimes C \otimes C, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes D & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & D \otimes C \\ & {\scriptstyle \varepsilon_C \otimes id_D} \searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id_D \otimes \varepsilon_C} \\ & & D. \end{array}$$

Be similar with [[10], Theorem 12], we have the following property.

Lemma 6.10. *Let C be a finite dimensional coalgebra and A a finite dimensional algebra over k. Then give an entwining map $\varphi : C\otimes A \to A\otimes C$ is identified to give a coalgebra distributive law $\Psi : A^{*cop}\otimes C \to C \otimes A^{*cop}$.*

Now supposed that (C, A, φ) is a monoidal entwining datum over k where C, A are two Hopf algebras with bijective antipodes.

Definition 6.11. The *entwined smash coproduct* $A^{*cop} \otimes C$ of (C, A, φ), in a form containing A^{*cop} and C, is a Hopf algebra with the following structures:

- the multiplication $\overline{m}$ is given by

$$(\gamma \otimes c)(\delta \otimes d) := \gamma * \delta \otimes ab,$$

where $c, d \in A$, $\gamma, \delta \in A^{*cop}$;

- the unit is $\overline{\eta}(1_k) = \varepsilon_A \otimes 1_C$;
- the comultiplication is given by

$$\overline{\Delta}(\gamma \otimes c) := \sum(\gamma_1(e_{i\varphi})\gamma_2 \otimes {c_1}^{\varphi}) \otimes (e^i \otimes c_2),$$

where e_i and e^i are dual bases of A and A^*

- the counit is given by

$$\overline{\varepsilon}(\gamma \otimes c) := \gamma(1_A)\varepsilon_C(c);$$

- the antipode is given by

$$\overline{S}(\gamma \otimes c) := \sum \gamma(e_{i\varphi})S^{-1}_{A^*}(e^i) \otimes S_C(c^{\varphi}).$$

The following is the proof of Eq.(3.1).

Proof. For any $a \in A$, $c \in C$, $p \in C^*$, $\gamma \in A^*$, since $\overline{S}$ is the antipode of $A^{*cop} \otimes C$, we have

$$(\overline{S} \otimes \overline{S})(\overline{\Delta}^{cop}(\gamma \otimes c)) = \overline{\Delta}(\overline{S}(\gamma \otimes c)).$$

For one thing, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\overline{S} \otimes \overline{S})(\overline{\Delta}^{cop}(\gamma \otimes c)) \\
= \ & \sum(\overline{S} \otimes \overline{S})((e^i(o_{i\phi})S^{-1}_{A^*}(o^i) \otimes S_C({c_2}^{\phi})) \otimes (\gamma_1(e_{i\varphi})\gamma_2(x_{i\psi})S^{-1}_{A^*}(x^i) \otimes S_C({c_1}^{\varphi\psi}))) \\
= \ & \sum \gamma(o_{i\phi\varphi}x_{i\psi})S^{-1}_{A^*}(o^i) \otimes S_C({c_2}^{\phi}) \otimes S^{-1}_{A^*}(x^i) \otimes S_C({c_1}^{\varphi\psi}),
\end{aligned}$$

where e_i,o_i,x_i and e^i,o^i,x^i are all dual bases of A and A^* respectively.

For another, we have

$$\overline{\Delta}(\overline{S}(\gamma \otimes c)) = \sum \gamma(e_{i\varphi})S^{-1}_{A^*}({e^i}_2)(o_{i\psi})S^{-1}_{A^*}({e^i}_1) \otimes S_C({c^{\varphi}}_2)^{\psi} \otimes o^i \otimes S_C({c^{\varphi}}_1).$$

Indeed, since

$$\begin{aligned}
& (p \otimes \varepsilon_C)(\sum \gamma(o_{i\phi\varphi}x_{i\psi})S^{-1}_{A^*}(o^i)(1_A) \otimes S_C({c_2}^{\phi}) \otimes S^{-1}_{A^*}(x^i)(a) \otimes S_C({c_1}^{\varphi\psi})) \\
= \ & \sum \gamma(S^{-1}_A(a)_{\psi})p(S_C(c_2))\varepsilon_C(S_C({c_1}^{\psi})) \\
= \ & \gamma(S^{-1}_A(a))p(S_C(c)),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (p \otimes \varepsilon_C)(\sum \gamma(e_{i\varphi})S^{-1}_{A^*}({e^i}_2)(o_{i\psi})S^{-1}_{A^*}({e^i}_1)(1_A) \otimes S_C({c^{\varphi}}_2)^{\psi} \otimes o^i(a) \otimes S_C({c^{\varphi}}_1)) \\
= \ & (p \otimes \varepsilon_C)(\sum \gamma(e_{i\varphi})S^{-1}_{A^*}(e^i)(a_{\psi})S_C({c^{\varphi}}_2)^{\psi} \otimes S_C({c^{\varphi}}_1)) \\
= \ & \sum \gamma(S^{-1}_A(a_{\psi})_{\varphi})p(S_C(c^{\varphi})^{\psi}),
\end{aligned}$$

Eq.(3.1) holds. □

Be similar with [[10], Theorem 13], we have the following property.

Proposition 6.12. *$\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is monoidal isomorphic to the corepresentation category of $A^{*cop}\otimes C$.*

Theorem 6.13. *There exists a k-linear map $\mathfrak{g}: C\to A$ such that $(C,A,\varphi,\mathfrak{g})$ is a pivotal entwined datum if and only if $A^{*cop}\otimes C$ is a copivotal Hopf algebra.*

Proof. If $(C,A,\varphi,\mathfrak{g})$ is a pivotal entwined datum, then the copovit on $A^{*cop}\otimes C$ is $\gamma\otimes c\mapsto\gamma(\mathfrak{g}(c))$.

Conversely, if $A^{*cop}\otimes C$ is a copivotal Hopf algebra with the pivotal character $\Gamma\in(A^{*cop}\otimes C)^*$, then the entwined pivotal morphism of $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is $c\mapsto\sum\Gamma(e^i\otimes c)e_i$, where e_i and e^i are dual bases of A and A^* respectively. □

Theorem 6.14. *Suppose that (C,A,φ,R) is a double quantum group where R is a map from $C\otimes C$ to $A\otimes A$. Then there exists a k-linear map $g: C\to A$ such that (C,A,φ,R,g) is a ribbon entwined datum if and only if $A^{*cop}\otimes C$ is a coribbon Hopf algebra.*

Proof. If (C,A,φ,R,g) is a ribbon entwined datum, then the coquasitriangular structure on $A^{*cop}\otimes C$ is

$$\zeta:(A^{*cop}\otimes C)\otimes(A^{*cop}\otimes C)\to k,\quad \zeta((\gamma'\otimes c)\otimes(\gamma\otimes d))\mapsto(\gamma'\otimes\gamma)R(c\otimes d).$$

And the ribbon character on $A^{*cop}\otimes C$ is $\gamma\otimes c\mapsto\gamma(g(c))$.

Conversely, if $A^{*cop}\otimes C$ is a coribbon Hopf algebra with the coribbon form $\Theta\in(A^{*cop}\otimes C)^*$, then the entwined ribbon morphism of $\mathcal{C}_A^C(\varphi)$ is $c\mapsto\sum\Theta(e^i\otimes c)e_i$, where e_i and e^i are dual bases of A and A^* respectively. □

7. Applications

7.1. Generalized Long dimodules.

Suppose that H and B are both finite dimensional Hopf algebras over k, M is at the same time a right H-module and a right B-comodule. Recall that M is called a *generalized right-right Long dimodule* (see [19]) if

$$\rho(m\cdot h)=\sum m_{(0)}\cdot h\otimes m_{(1)}$$

for all $m\in M$ and $h\in H$. The category of generalized right-right Long dimodules and H-linear B-colinear homomorphisms is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_H^B$. If we define $\dot\varphi: B\otimes H\to H\otimes B$ as the flip map in Vec_k, then obviously $(B,H,\dot\varphi)$ is a monoidal entwining datum, and $\mathcal{L}_H^B=\mathcal{C}_H^B(\dot\varphi)$.

Then from Theorem 6.4, we immediately get that the category of generalized Long dimodules is identified to the representations of the Hopf algebra $B^{*op}\otimes H$. Here the bialgebra structure of $B^{*op}\otimes H$ is the ordinary bialgebra structure which is induced by the tensor product of B^{*op} and H, and the antipode is defined by

$$\overline{S}(p\otimes a)=S_{B^*}^{-1}(p)\otimes S_H(a),\quad \text{where } p\in B^*,\quad a\in H.$$

We can get the following results from Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 5.9.

Theorem 7.1. *$\mathcal{L}_H^B$ is a pivotal category if and only if there is a k-linear map $\mathfrak{g}: B\to H$, such that for any $a,b\in B$ and $h\in H$, the following conditions hold:*

$$\begin{cases}(LP1)\ \ \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(ab))=\mathfrak{g}(a)\otimes\mathfrak{g}(b);\\ (LP2)\ \ \varepsilon_H(\mathfrak{g}(1_B))=1_k;\\ (LP3)\ \ \mathfrak{g}(a)S_H(h)=S_H^{-1}(h)\mathfrak{g}(a);\\ (LP4)\ \ \mathfrak{g}(a_1)\otimes S_B(a_2)=\mathfrak{g}(a_2)\otimes S_B^{-1}(a_1).\end{cases}$$

Proposition 7.2. *If H is a pivotal Hopf algebra and B is a copivotal Hopf algebra, then $\mathcal{L}_H^B$ is a pivotal category.*

Proof. Suppose the pivot in H is κ, the copivot on B is ϱ. Define a k-linear map $\mathfrak{g}: B \to H$ by

$$\mathfrak{g}(b) := \varrho(b)\kappa, \quad \text{for any } b \in B,$$

it is easy to check that $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies Eqs.(4.1)-(4.4). Since Theorem 4.7, the conclusion hold. □

Example 7.3. Let G be a finite group and $H = kG$. Then $\mathcal{L}_H^H$ is a pivotal category, and the pivotal structure in $\mathcal{L}_H^H$ is totally determined by the center of G. Actually, assume that $\mathfrak{g}: H \to H$ is an entwined pivotal morphism of $\mathcal{L}_H^H$, then we have $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}(x)) = \mathfrak{g}(x)\otimes\mathfrak{g}(1_H)$ because Eq.(4.1), and $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}(x)) = \mathfrak{g}(x)\otimes\mathfrak{g}(x)$ since H is a group algebra. Thus $\mathfrak{g}(x) = \mathfrak{g}(1_H)$ for all $x \in H$. From Eq.(4.3), one can get that $\mathfrak{g}(1_H)$ is in the center of H. Eq.(4.4) is satisfied naturally.

Example 7.4. Let k be a field and H_4 be the Sweedler's 4-dimensional Hopf algebra in Example 4.12. Then recall from Theorem 4.7, it is easy to check that the entwined pivotal morphism $\mathfrak{g} \in hom_k(H_4, H_4)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathfrak{g}(1_H) = e, \quad \mathfrak{g}(e) = -e, \quad \mathfrak{g}(x) = \mathfrak{g}(y) = 0.$$

Note that if (H, R) is a quasitriangular Hopf algebra and (B, β) is a coquasitriangular Hopf algebra, then we have a braiding in $\mathcal{L}_H^B$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}_{M,N} : M \otimes N &\longrightarrow N \otimes M \\ m \otimes n &\longmapsto \sum \beta(m_{(1)}, n_{(1)}) n_{(0)} \cdot \mathrm{R}^{(2)} \otimes m_{(0)} \cdot \mathrm{R}^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the map $\mathbf{R} \in Hom_k(B \otimes B, H \otimes H)$ which make $(B, H, \dot{\varphi}, \mathbf{R})$ into a double quantum group is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} : B \otimes B &\longrightarrow H \otimes H \\ a \otimes b &\longmapsto \sum \beta(a, b)\mathrm{R}^{(2)} \otimes \mathrm{R}^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7.5. *Under the condition above, $\mathcal{L}_H^B$ is a ribbon category if and only if there is a k-linear map $g: B \to H$, which is convolution invertible and satisfies the following identities for any $a, b \in B$, $h \in H$:*

$$\begin{cases} (LR1) & g(a)h = hg(a); \\ (LR2) & g(a_1) \otimes a_2 = g(a_2) \otimes a_1; \\ (LR3) & \Delta(g(ab)) = \beta(a_3, b_3)\beta(b_2, a_2)g(a_1)\mathrm{R}^{(1)}\mathrm{R}'^{(2)} \otimes g(b_1)\mathrm{R}^{(2)}\mathrm{R}'^{(1)}; \\ (LR4) & g(a) = S_H^{-1} g S_B(a), \text{ or equivalently, } S_H \circ g = g \circ S_B. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 7.6. *If H is a ribbon Hopf algebra and B is a coribbon Hopf algebra, then $\mathcal{L}_H^B$ is a ribbon category.*

Proof. Suppose the ribbon element in H is ξ, the ribbon character on B is ζ. Define a k-linear map $g: B \to H$ by

$$g(b) := \zeta(b)\xi, \quad \text{for any } b \in B,$$

it is easy to check that g satisfies Eqs.(5.1)-(5.4). Since Theorem 5.9, the conclusion hold. □

7.2. **Yetter-Drinfeld modules.**

Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra over k. Recall that if M is both a right H-module and a right B-comodule, and satisfies

$$\rho(m \cdot h) = \sum m_{(0)} \cdot a_2 \otimes S(a_1) m_{(1)} a_3$$

for any $h \in H$, $m \in M$, then M is a *right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module*. The category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules and H-linear H-colinear homomorphisms is denoted by $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$.

If we define

$$\ddot{\varphi} : H \otimes H \longrightarrow H \otimes H$$
$$c \otimes a \longmapsto a_{\ddot{\varphi}} \otimes c^{\ddot{\varphi}} := \sum a_2 \otimes S(a_1)ca_3.$$

It is straightforward to show $\ddot{\varphi}$ is a right-right entwining structure, and $\mathcal{C}_H^H(\ddot{\varphi}) = \mathcal{YD}_H^H$. Further, it is obviously to see that the entwined smash product $H^{*op} \otimes H$ is the Drinfeld double of H, and the entwined smash coproduct of $(H, H, \ddot{\varphi})$ is the *Drinfeld codouble* (see [22], Section 10) of H.

We can get the following results from Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 5.9.

Theorem 7.7. (1) *$\mathcal{YD}_H^H$ is a pivotal category if and only if there is a k-linear map $\mathfrak{g} : H \to H$, such that for any $a, b \in H$, the following conditions hold:*

$$\begin{cases} (YP1) & \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(ab)) = g(a) \otimes g(b); \\ (YP2) & \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}(1_H)) = 1_k; \\ (YP3) & \mathfrak{g}(b)S^2(a) = a_2\mathfrak{g}(S(a_1)ba_3); \\ (YP4) & \mathfrak{g}(a_1) \otimes S^2(a_2) = \mathfrak{g}(a_2) \otimes S(g(1_H))a_1g(1_H). \end{cases}$$

(2) *Since $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$ is a braided category with the braiding*

$$t_{M,N} : M \otimes N \to N \otimes M, \quad m \otimes n \mapsto n_{(0)} \otimes m \cdot n_{(1)}, \ \text{where } M, N \in \mathcal{YD}_H^H, \ m \in M, \ n \in N,$$

we immediately get that $(H, H, \ddot{\varphi}, \mathbf{R})$ is a double quantum group, where $\mathbf{R}$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{R} : H \otimes H \longrightarrow H \otimes H$$
$$a \otimes b \longmapsto 1_H \otimes \varepsilon(a)b.$$

Then $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$ is a ribbon category if and only if there is a k-linear map $g : H \to H$, which is convolution invertible and satisfies the following identities for any $a, b \in H$:

$$\begin{cases} (YR1) & g(b)a = a_2g(S(a_1)ba_3); \\ (YR2) & g(a_1) \otimes a_2 = g(a_2)_2 \otimes S(g(a_2)_1)h_1(g(a_2)_3); \\ (YR3) & \Delta(g(ab)) = g(a_1)b_3 \otimes g(b_1)S(b_2)a_2b_4; \\ (YR4) & g(b) = \sum a_{i2}a^i(S^{-1}gS(S(a_{i1})ba_{i3})), \end{cases}$$

where a_i and a^i are bases of A and A^* respectively, dual to each other.

Recall from [17] (or [22]) that $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$ is equivalent to the center of the category of $Rep(H)$, we immediately get the following property.

Proposition 7.8. *If H is a pivotal Hopf algebra or a copivotal Hopf algebra, then $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$ is a pivotal category.*

Proof. If H is a pivotal Hopf algebra with the pivot κ, then we can define a k-linear map $\mathfrak{g} : H \to H$ via $\mathfrak{g}(x) = \varepsilon(x)\kappa$. It is a direct computation to check that $\mathfrak{g}$ is an entwined pivotal morphism of $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$.

Similarly, if H is a copivotal Hopf algebra with the pivotal character ϱ, then define $\mathfrak{g}' : H \to H$ by $\mathfrak{g}'(x) = \varrho(x)1_H$. It is easy to check that $\mathfrak{g}'$ also satisfies Eqs.(4.1)-(4.4). □

Example 7.9. Consider the Sweedler's 4-dimensional Hopf algebra. It is straightly to check that the entwined pivotal morphisms in $\mathcal{YD}_{H_4}^{H_4}$ are given by

$$\mathfrak{g}_1(1_H) = 1_H, \quad \mathfrak{g}_1(e) = -1_H, \quad \mathfrak{g}_1(x) = \mathfrak{g}_1(y) = 0;$$
$$\mathfrak{g}_2(1_H) = e, \quad \mathfrak{g}_2(e) = e, \quad \mathfrak{g}_2(x) = \mathfrak{g}_2(y) = 0.$$

Proposition 7.10. *If H is a ribbon Hopf algebra or a coribbon Hopf algebra, then $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$ is a ribbon category.*

Proof. If the ribbon element in H is ξ, then we can define a k-linear map $g : H \to H$ via $g(x) = \varepsilon(x)\xi$. It is a direct computation to check that g is an entwined ribbon morphism of $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$.

Similarly, if the ribbon character of H is ζ, then we can define define $g' : H \to H$ by $g'(x) = \zeta(x)1_H$. It is easy to check that g' also satisfies Eqs.(5.1)-(5.4). □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work was partially supported by the NSF of China (NO. 11371088), and the NSF of Qufu Normal University (NO. xkj201514).

References

[1] Andruskiewitsch N, Angiono I, Iglesias A G, Torrecillas B and Vay C. (2014) From Hopf algebras to tensor categories. In: *Conformal Field Theories and Tensor Categories*, pp: 1-31. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
[2] Barrett J W, Westbury B W. (1999) Spherical Categories. *Adv. Math.* 143: 357-375.
[3] Beck J. (1969) Distributive laws. *Lect. Notes Math.* 80, 119-140.
[4] Bichon J. (2001) Cosovereign Hopf algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 157(2-3): 121-133.
[5] Bruguières A, Virelizier A. (2007) Hopf monads. *Adv. Math.* 215(2): 679-733.
[6] Brzeziński T. (1999) On modules associated to coalgebra Galois extensions. *J. Algebra* 215: 290-317.
[7] Brzeziński T. (2001) The cohomology structure of an algebra entwined with a coalgebra. *J. Algebra* 235: 176-202.
[8] Brzeziński T, Majid S. (1998) Coalgebra bundles. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 191: 467-492.
[9] Caenepeel S, Ion B, Militaru G, Zhu S L. (2000) The factorization problem and the smash biproduct of algebras and coalgebras. *Algebr. Represent. Theory* 3(1):19-42.
[10] Caenepeel S, Militaru G, Zhu S L. (2002) Frobenius and separable functors for generalized module categories and nonlinear equations. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol 1787, Springer, Berlin.
[11] Čap A, Schichl H, VanČura J. (1995) On twisted tensor product of algebras. *Comm. Algebra* 23: 4701-4735.
[12] Etingof P, Gelaki S, Nikshych D, Ostrik V. Tensor categories. In: *Lecture Note for the MIT Course.* Available at: www-math.mit.edu/etingof/tenscat.pdf
[13] Freyd P J, Yetter D N. (1989) Braided compact closed categories with applications to low dimensional topology. *Adv. Math.* 77: 156-182.
[14] Freyd P J, Yetter D N. (1992) Coherence theorems via knot theory. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 78(1): 49-76.
[15] Hobst D, Pareigis B. (2001) Double quantum groups. *J. Algebra* 242(2): 460-494.
[16] Joyal A, Street R. (1991) An introduction to Tannaka duality and quantum groups. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1488, Springer, Berlin.
[17] Kassel C. (1995) Quantum Groups, Springer-Verlag, New York.
[18] Kauffman L H, Radford D E. (1993). A Necessary and Sufficient Condition for a Finite-Dimensional Drinfel'd Double to Be a Ribbon Hopf Algebra. *J. Algebra* 159: 98-114.
[19] Long F. (1974) The Brauer group of dimodule algebras. *J. Algebra* 30: 559-601.
[20] Majid S. (1995) Foundations of quantum group theory. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
[21] Maltsiniotis G. (1995) Traces dans les catgories monoidales, dualit et catgories monoidales fibres. Cahiers de topologie et gomtrie differentielle catgoriques, 195-288.
[22] Montgomery S. (1993) Hopf algebras and their actions on rings. CMBS Reg. Conf. Ser. In Math. 82, Am. Math. Soc., Providence.
[23] Mesablishvili B. (2008) Entwining structures in monoidal categories. *J. Algebra* 319(6): 2496-2517.
[24] Ng S H. (2012). A Note on Frobenius-Schur Indicators. In: *Proceedings Of The International Conference On Algebra 2010: Advances in Algebraic Structures*, pp: 454-460.
[25] Reshetikhin N. Y, Turaev V. G. (1990) Ribbon Graphs and Their Invariants Derived from Quantum Groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 127: 1-26.
[26] Schauenburg P. (2004) On the Frobenius-Schur indicators for quasi-Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* 282(1): 129-139.
[27] Selinger P. (2009) A survey of graphical languages for monoidal categories. e-Print, ArXiv: math.QA/0908.3347.
[28] Shimizu K. (2015) On indicators of Hopf algebras. *Israel J. Math.* 207(1):155¨C201.
[29] Shimizu K. (2015) The pivotal cover and Frobenius¨CSchur indicators. *J. Algebra* 428: 357¨C 402.
[30] Shimizu K. (2016) Pivotal structures of the Drinfeld center of a finite tensor category. e-Print. *arxiv*: 1608.05905v1.
[31] Tambara D. (1990) The coendomorphism bialgebra of an algebra. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA, Math.* 37: 425-456.
[32] Ulbrich K. H. (1990) On Hopf algebras and rigid monoidal categories. *Israel J. Math.* 72: 252¨C256.
[33] Yetter D. N. (1992) Framed tangles and a theorem of Deligne on braided deformations of tannakian categories, in Deformation theory and quantum groups with applications to mathematical physics, *Contemporary Mathematics* 134: 325-345.
[34] Zhang X H, Zhao X F, Wang S H. (2015) Sovereign and ribbon weak Hopf algebras. *Kodai Math. J.* 38(2): 451-469.